

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

<u>.</u>		-4/E3 O	wasnington, D.C. 202	31 \
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	NVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/305,730	3 05/06/99	MOSBACH		K 003300-357
		HM22/0807	, ¬ [EXAMINER
MORGAN & F	FINNEGAN LLP	mmzz/uou/		EPERLEY,M
345 PARK 6			ART	UNIT PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK I	IY 10154		. 4	641 10
			DATE MA	NLED: 08/07/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. · •		09/305,738	MOSBACH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mary E. (Molly) Ceperley	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a)□	·	is action is non-final.					
3)□							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-35</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
— the state of the							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Information	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/305,738

Art Unit: 1641

As set forth in paragraph 1. of the last Office action, the specification must be amended to
include a section entitled "Brief Description of the Drawings" and "Scheme 1" of page 16
must either be inserted at an appropriate location within the body of the specification or
presented as a figure.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete.
 These claims are incomplete in not reciting a method step whereby the step of "determining the amount of said labeled molecule" is correlated with the presence or amount of the "drug molecule" in the sample.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 27-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Mosbach (U.S. 5,110,833).

Mosbach describes artificial antibodies which are of the same composition as those of the instant claims, i.e. they are prepared from a cross linked polymer by molecular imprint

Art Unit: 1641

polymerization (see col. 3, lines 13-46). Mosbach describes a specific polymer size particle range of "less than 25 um" which encompasses and therefore anticipates the range of "less than about five microns" of instant claim 27 (col. 5, lines 38-40). The features of the dependent claims (e.g. drug analytes) are either specifically described by the reference (e.g. for specific drug analytes see col. 3, lines 19-22) or constitute obvious variations in parameters which are routinely modified in the art (e.g. choice of specific type of label for use in an immunoassay, use of artificial antibodies in a conventional competitive immunoassay) and which have not been described as critical to the practice of the invention. Criticality has not been demonstrated for the particle size range of "less than about five microns" of the instant invention versus the broader range of "less than 25 um" of the prior art.

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 27-35 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over the corresponding claims of U. S. Patent No. 5,959,050 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

Art Unit: 1641

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: the artificial antibodies of claims 1-4 of the patent, which are alternatively described as "molecularly imprinted supports", encompass the "artificial antibodies" of instant claim 1. The claimed particle size range of "less than about five microns" is encompassed by the ranges of "about 2 to 100 microns", "between 2 and 50 microns", and "between about 5 and 25 microns" of claims 2-4 of the patent. Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary E. (Molly) Ceperley whose telephone number is (703) 308-4239. The examiner can normally be reached from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le, can be reached on (703) 305-3399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7230.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

August 6, 2001

Many E. Le ser ley Mary E. Ceperley Primary Examiner Art Unit 1641 Application/Control Number: 09/305,738

Art Unit: 1641